

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/987,673	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OVERTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Brenda A Lamb	<b>Art Unit</b> 1734	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brenda A Lamb.

(2) Attorney Wikberg.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

None

**Prior art documents discussed:**


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/987,673	Applicant(s) OVERTON ET AL	
	Examiner Brenda A Lamb	Art Unit 1734	

**All Participants:**

(1) Brenda A Lamb.

(2) Attorney Wikberg.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

ALL

**Prior art documents discussed:**


NONE

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Attorney Wikberg agreed to changes in the claims as set forth in a proposed examiner's amendment faxed to Attorney Wikberg on 1/25/2005 in order to obviate 112 rejections and place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Lamb indicated on the voice-mail of Attorney Wikberg that the after-final amendment filed 1/05/2005 had been received and raised a number of new issues which included duplicate claims and typographical errors. Attorney Wikberg agreed to work with the examiner to help resolve the above cited issues regarding the application in order place the application in condition for allowance.